
**LIVING TESTIMONY
IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT
OF CHRISTINE MASSEY**

I, Christine Anne of the Massey family, living on the land known as Peterborough, Ontario, Canada, **declare** that:

1. I am fully competent to make this declaration and I make it voluntarily.
2. My statements herein are based upon personal knowledge.
3. I am trained and have worked professionally in the past as a biostatistician, with a master's degree in biostatistics from the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
4. In May of 2020, I began submitting freedom of information requests to Canadian health and science institutions, asking for all studies/reports in the possession/custody/control of each institution that describe the isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus", also referred to as "SARS-COV-2", directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material.
5. I clarified in my requests that I was using the word "isolation" or "purification" in the every-day sense: the act of separating the alleged virus from everything else in a patient sample, and that I was not requesting records where "isolation

of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to the culturing of something, or the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or the sequencing of something.

6. I also clarified that my requests were not limited to records that were authored by the institution in question and were not limited to records that describe work done by the institution in question, but included any such study/report authored by anyone, anywhere.
7. In each request, I asked that if any records held by the institution in question matched my description of requested records, but were currently available to the public elsewhere, that the institution provide enough information about each publicly available record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty.
8. In many of my requests, I also clarified that I was not requesting private patient records, or records that describe the replication of an alleged virus without host cells.
9. After the first alleged "variant" was announced in December of 2020, many of my requests also specified that they applied to any alleged "variants" as well as to the original alleged virus.
10. I submitted 2 separate requests to the Public Health Agency of Canada. The first request was as stated above. The 2nd request was specifically regarding purification of the alleged "UK variant", also known as "the alpha variant" or "B.1.1.7".

11. I also submitted requests to numerous police services.

12. Thus far, I have received responses from over 30 Canadian institutions and all have failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing purification of the alleged virus (or variant) from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere **[Exhibit #1]**.

13. In their initial response that was sent to me via email on December 7, 2020, the Public Health Agency of Canada provided me with 1 study by Bullard et al. that had been supported by Manitoba Health, Cadham Provincial Laboratory, the Public Health Agency of Canada and National Microbiology Laboratory, and with several emails that they claimed to be responsive to my request. The Abstract of the study states: "RT- PCR detects RNA, not infectious virus." Neither the study nor the emails described purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample or from anything else.

14. I responded via email to the Public Health Agency of Canada on December 7, 2021, advising that none of the provided records describe the separation of the alleged virus from everything else in a patient sample.

15. In their revised response to that same request, emailed to me on February 2, 2021, the Public Health Agency of Canada indicated that my request had resulted in a "No Records Exist", and stated that the gold standard used to determine the presence of intact virus in patient samples is visible cytopathic effects on cells in a cell culture. Their letter states that "in the case of SARS-COV-2 isolation" kidney epithelial cells extracted from an African green monkey ("Vero cells") "combined with minimal essential medium (MEM) were used because they are essential to support viral replication and cell growth."

16. The revised response also stated that “PCR further confirms that intact virus is present”.
17. In response to my 2nd request to the Public Health Agency of Canada, they indicated that they were unable to locate any records describing purification of the alleged alpha/UK variant from any patient sample.
18. Of the remaining Canadian institutions, all indicated that they have no such records. These include Health Canada (responsible for authorizing “COVID-19” clinical trials, diagnostic tests, “vaccines” and therapeutics), National Research Council of Canada, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Patented Medicine Prices Review Board Canada, Ontario’s Ministry of Health, Public Health Ontario (responsible for providing scientific and technical advice to clients working in government, public health, health care, and related sectors), University Health Network, Alberta Ministry of Health, Alberta Premier’s Officer, Ontario Ministry of the Solicitor General which includes the Ontario Provincial Police, Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington Public Health (KFL&A PH, while the current “Chief Medical Officer of Health for Ontario”, Dr. Kieran Moore, was still serving at KFL&A PH as “Medical Officer of Health”), all 5 Canadian institutions whose researchers claim to have “isolated” the alleged COVID-19 virus (the Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre aka VIDO-InterVac at University of Saskatchewan, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Mount Sinai Hospital in Toronto and Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre in Toronto), Dalhousie University, University of Waterloo, University of Ottawa, numerous municipalities and public health units and several more police service corporations.
19. Regarding Health Canada specifically, on June 11th, 2020 at 11:42 AM Barbara Haase, Senior ATIP Analyst, Access to Information and Privacy, advised me

that Health Canada would not typically evaluate the type of information I had requested. On June 23rd, 2020 she stated that Health Canada's role is only to review evidence provided by sponsors in order to make regulatory decisions to approve products and authorize clinical trials, and that I may wish to contact the sponsors of clinical trials and/or companies in order to get the information I was seeking.

20. Further, numerous people have provided me with additional documents that appear to me to be legitimate freedom of information responses from additional Canadian health and science institutions, in response to the same, or similar, requests for records describing purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus. In most cases I was provided the original, un-redacted communications and redacted the name of the request-submitter myself, in order to protect their identity, if they wished their name redacted. In every instance the institution failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, or proof of its alleged existence.

21. These Canadian institutions include the Health Canada, the Public Health Agency of Canada, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec, British Columbia's Ministry of Health (regarding the alleged "B.1.1.7" aka "Alpha" aka "UK" variant), British Columbia's Centre for Disease Control, British Columbia's Provincial Health Services Authority, Vancouver Coastal Health Authority (regarding the alleged Alpha variant), Newfoundland Labrador Department of Health & Community Services, New Brunswick's Department/Ministry of Health and McGill University [**Exhibit #2**].

22. Further, I have also personally submitted formal requests to institutions outside

of Canada, for records describing purification of the alleged SARS-COV-2, and received responses from numerous thus far, including the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the United States National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases, the United States Food and Drug Administration, the United States Department of Labor / Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Imperial College London, the Norwegian Directorate of Health, the University of Western Australia and the University of New South Wales in Australia (workplace of Professor John Shine, President of the Australian Academy of Science). All failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere **[Exhibit #3]**.

23. Further, numerous people have provided me with additional documents that appear to me to be legitimate freedom of information responses from additional health, science and political institutions from outside of Canada, in response to the same, or similar, requests for records describing purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus, as well as apparent court documents from the Republic of South Africa and Portugal. In most cases I was provided the original, un-redacted communications, and redacted the name of the request-submitter myself, in order to protect their identity. In every instance the institution failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, or proof of its alleged existence.

24. These institutions from outside of Canada include but are not limited to: the United States' Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (which had claimed to have "isolated SARS-COV-2"), Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Department of Health and Human Services, New York State Department of Health, Arizona Department of Health Services, Arkansas Department of Health, California

Department of Public Health, Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Health, Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services, Florida Department of Health, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, Oregon Health Authority, Oregon Health and Science University, Pennsylvania Department of Health, Washington DC Department of Health, Illinois' Officer of the Governor, Clemson-University, Wisconsin's Governor and Department of Health Services, New Zealand's Ministry of Health, Defence Force, University of Auckland, University of Waikato, University of Otago (which had claimed to have "isolated SARS-COV-2"), Australia's Department of Health, Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity (which had claimed to have "isolated SARS-COV-2"), Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, ACT Government (Government of the Australian Capital Territory) / Canberra Health Services (CHS), New South Wales Ministry of Health, South Australia Minister for Health and Wellbeing, Western Australia Minister & Department of Health, Argentina's National Administration of Laboratories and Health Institutes "Dr. Carlos Malbrán", Brazil's Health Regulatory Agency Anvisa and Ministry of Health, the Czech Republic's Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Ministry of Health and Univerzita Karlova, Denmark's Statens Serum Institut, Norway's Ministry of Health and Cares Services and subordinate agency the Directorate of Health, Finland's Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), Italy's National Institute of Infectious Diseases "Lazzaro Spallanzani" (which had claimed to have "isolated SARS- COV-2"), Lithuania's National Public Health Laboratory, the Netherlands' Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, the Phillipine's Research Institute for Tropical Medicine, Spain's Ministry of Health, India's Council of Medical Research (which had claimed to have "isolated SARS-COV-"2), the United Kingdom's Department of Health and Social Care, Government Office for Science, Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency and Health Security Agency, Public Health London Hammersmith and Fulham and University of Warwick, Public Health England, the Republic of Columbia's Ministry of Health and Social Protection, the

Republic of Ireland's National Virus Reference Laboratory at University College Dublin, and Health Service Executive, the Republic of Serbia's Ministry of Health, Public Health Scotland, Public Health Wales, Slovenia's Department of Health, Faculty of Medicine and Institute of Microbiology and Immunology at the University of Ljubljana, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, and National Laboratory for Health, Environment and Food, Sweden's Karolinska Institute and Public Health Authority, the Ukraine's Ministry of Health, Uruguay's Ministry of Public Health, Clemente Stabile Biological Research Institute and Faculty of Chemistry at the University of the Republic (UdelaR), and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Numerous of these institutions had publicly claimed to have isolated the alleged virus, and/or were involved in the development and/or approval of various COVID-19 injections/drugs/diagnostic tests and other products **[Exhibit #4]**.

25. All of these records are publicly available on my website and as of May 24, 2022, 187 institutions in well over 30 countries are represented:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

26. An alleged “virus” must be purified (aka “isolated”) before it can be sequenced, characterized, and investigated via controlled experiments.

27. Sequencing and characterization are necessary for identification of a specific alleged “virus”.

28. Repeated controlled experiments are necessary for determination of disease causation by an alleged “virus”.

29. Purification of an alleged “virus” from many patient samples, and then characterization, sequencing and repeated controlled experiments are

necessary before one can logically and scientifically conclude that “it” is circulating in humans and is the cause of an allegedly new disease.

30. It is impossible to validate any “test” without a gold standard.
31. It is impossible to validate any “test” claimed to “confirm” the presence of a “virus” (or a “viral infection”) before the alleged “virus” has been proven to exist.
32. It is impossible to validate any “test” claimed to “confirm” a “viral disease” before the alleged “virus” has been a) proven to exist and b) proven to cause the disease.
33. Published studies wherein authors claimed to have “isolated” the alleged “COVID-19 virus” (aka “SARS-COV-2”) (or any other alleged virus, including “SARS-COV-1”) do not describe isolation/purification of an alleged virus from patient samples (or from anything else).
34. Published studies wherein authors claimed to have “sequenced” the alleged “SARS-COV-2” (or any other alleged virus, including “SARS-COV-1”) do not describe extraction of genetic material from a purified sample of “virus” or discovery/determination of a “viral genome”. Rather, they describe the fabrication of an in silico (computer) sequence that they call a “viral genome” but has never been shown to correspond to anything in the physical realm.
35. The Freedom of Information responses described above show that governments and health/science institutions worldwide have uniformly failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing purification of the alleged “SARS-COV-2” (or any alleged “variant”) from a sample taken

from a sick patient, by anyone, anywhere on Earth.

36. FOI responses from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and elsewhere also yielded no record describing purification of the alleged 2003 “SARS virus” (or any “common cold coronavirus”) from a sample taken from a sick patient, by anyone, anywhere on Earth.
37. Governments and health/science institutions worldwide have demonstrated that they are unable to provide or cite any study or report, published by anyone, anywhere on Earth, describing the alleged “SARS-COV-2” isolated/purified directly from the fluids of a sick patient and then characterized and sequenced and proven to cause disease in humans or animals.
38. Governments and health/science institutions worldwide have demonstrated that they are unable to prove the existence of the alleged “SARS-COV-2” (or any alleged “variant”).
39. Governments and health/science institutions worldwide have demonstrated that they are unable to prove that the alleged “SARS-COV-2” (or any alleged “variant”) is the cause of any disease, new or old.
40. All of the above-mentioned FOI responses and court documents are publicly available on my website at the following URLs:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/>

41. All "COVID-19" tests, diagnoses, statistics, projections, models, injections, restrictions, requirements, and interferences of every kind are invalid and ultimately based on delusion, misconception, misinterpretation, ignorance, incompetence, incomplete information and/or intentional fraud.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct, on this 24TH day of May, 2022.



Christine Anne of the Massey family

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Christine Anne of the Massey family

580 Fagan Avenue

Peterborough, ON [K9J 4J1]

cmssyc@gmail.com

christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca